

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 6, 2004 LB 1065
 LR 11

often an extensive debate. It is often and usually there is not a consensus. And sometimes there can be numerous amendments and ideas. So that's another reason that it seemed to be appropriate to suggest and ask the Executive Board, who actually makes...confirms the designation, that it be a major proposal. Now will every major proposal be handled the same? Probably not, because each one...each bill, I think...there is no recipe, there is no absolute time frame that is appropriate for each bill. Each bill is different and must stand on its own and be considered according to the complexity and whether it has been here before or not. Now this is the first discussion on ethanol this session and the funding crisis, and it merited, I think, the amendments and the discussion that started occurring yesterday and continued today, I think it was very appropriate. I don't think it was a bad use of our time, so to speak. So with that, I appreciate Senator Beutler raising the issue. I would not be supportive of going into the sales tax on motor vehicle funds at this point in time. If we have to do something more, I'd suggest we continue to talk and figure out something on Select File. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Smith, the Beutler amendment.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. President and members. If Senator Bromm would yield for a question?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bromm, would you yield?

SPEAKER BROMM: Yes, I would.

SENATOR SMITH: Senator Bromm, Mr. Speaker, I should say, I realize realities exist here. In the Exec Board meeting, it was stated that LR 11CA had received no debate this session. Is that accurate?

SPEAKER BROMM: I'm not sure but that may have been said.

SENATOR SMITH: Okay, thank you. I don't want to extend this debate on LB 1065, but I would say that the major proposal designation for LB 1065 was very appropriate, and the Speaker